

ETHNIC VARIATION IN SELECTION OF ORAL CONTRACEPTIVES†

by

N. N. ROY CHOWDHURY,* M.O., Ph.D. (Cal.),
F.R.C.S. (Edin.), F.R.C.O.G., F.A.C.S.

and

PHALGUNI BHATTACHARYYA,** M.B.B.S., D.G.O., D.M.C.M. (Cal.)

Since the introduction of Oral Contraceptives in 1957 (Pincus, *et al* 1958) innumerable of such preparations are available for clinical use. Naturally the Physicians may be in a fix as to which preparation should be used for a particular subject without much side effects. Since the introduction of oral contraceptives, an emphasis has been laid on the reduction of the minor side effects, as incidence of major side effects is generally accepted to be very low. Efforts should be made to ensure that each patient receive the preparation most suitable for her constitutional type (Bhattacharyya *et al* 1972). As yet no ideal criteria could be ascertained which can help in selecting suitable type of contraceptive for any particular person. For this purpose Trenhasff (1971) identified three different types of women according to their constitution and body weight.

1. Female adipose type (oestrogen predominant).

2. Female slim type (oestrogen/progestogen balanced).

3. Virile slim type (progestogen/androgen predominant).

This arbitrary classification of women for the purpose of selection of contraceptive pill is not a fool-proof one.

The present study is based on administration of two different types of pill—one predominantly oestrogenic in action namely Lyndiol containing Lynestrinol + 0.075 m. Menstranol and the other being predominantly progestogenic in action—namely Ovulen 1 mg. Ethynodiol Diacetate + 0.1 mg. Menstranol. These pills were given alternately to random samples of women coming for contraceptive advice to the Family Planning Centre of Medical College, Calcutta during the period from January, 1969 to December, 1971.

No emphasis was given on any constitutional variety or menstrual performance of the acceptors just to see which type of pill—predominantly oestrogenic or predominantly progestogenic is better tolerated by the average Indian women attending the Family Planning Centre.

Table 1 shows the distribution of cases.

†Presented in the 19th All India Obstetric & Gynaecological Congress, held in Jamshedpur on 27th-29th December, 1975.

*Associate Professor of Obstetric & Gynaecology, Medical College, Calcutta.

**Lady Medical Officer, Family Planning Centre Medical College, Calcutta.

Accepted for Publication on 14.6.1976.

TABLE I
Distribution of Cases

Name of Drug	No. of cases
Lyndiol	600
Ovulen	912

Table II shows distribution of cases according to age.

TABLE II
Distribution of Cases According to Age Group

Age in years	Lyndiol	Ovulen
15 to 20	137	164
21 to 25	180	288
26 to 30	178	218
31 to 35	82	192
36 to 40	23	50
Total	600	912

Table III shows the distribution of cases according to parity.

TABLE III
Distribution of Cases According to Parity

Age in years	Lyndiol	Ovulen
Nullipara	26	26
1 to 3 Children	282	501
4 to 6 Children	225	290
7 and above	67	95
Total	600	912

Table IV shows distribution of cases according to number of cycles used.

TABLE IV
Distribution of Cases According to Number of Cycles Used

No. of Cycle	Lyndiol	Ovulen
1 to 3	32	115
4 to 6	62	51
7 to 12	86	189
13 to 20	77	198
21 to 36	343	359
Total	600	912

Follow-up

Regular check up was done at each visit in each case in the Family Planning Clinic. Complaints were noted. The re-

sults of follow-up are given in the tables below. During follow up 77 cases of Lyndiol and 112 cases of Ovulen could not be followed-up because they went out of station.

TABLE V
Changes in Weight and Blood Pressure

Weight	Lyndiol	Ovulen
Same	392	580
Increase 1-3 Kg.	125	109
Decrease 1-3 Kg.	6	111
Total	523	800
<i>Blood Pressure:</i>		
Same	410	600
Increase		
10-20 mm. of Hg.	54	98
Decrease		
10-20 mm. of Hg.	59	102
Total	523	800

Table VI shows the change in menstrual behaviour in two groups.

TABLE VI
Changes in Menstrual Behaviour

	Lyndiol	Ovulen
<i>Length of Cycle:</i>		
25 to 27 days	367	574
28 to 29 days	85	155
30 to 35 days	71	71
Total	523	800
<i>Flow:</i>		
Average	359	472
Scanty	71	254
Excess	93	71
Lactational amenorrhoea	—	3
Total	523	800

Table VII shows the side-effects in two groups.

TABLE VII
Analysis of Side-effects Out of 523 Cases of
Lyndiol and 800 Cases of Ovulen

Name of Complaints	Lyndiol	Ovulen
Nausea and vomiting	12	10
Break through bleeding	4	16
Break through spotting	10	21
Leucorrhoea	10	10
Cervical erosion	20	10
Breast tenderness, breast engorgement and mastalgia	nil	15
Oedema of legs	1	1
Acne	nil	1
Insomnia	2	12
Varicosity of veins	nil	nil
Anorexia	nil	10
Pigmentation	1	1
Muscle cramp in leg	1	8
Burning sensation	2	5
Reduction of milk	2	3
Falling of hair	nil	nil
Increased libido	2	nil
Decreased libido	nil	31
Hot flushes	nil	3
Hirsutism	nil	1
Fatigue, exhaustion and mental depression	nil	15
Coitus difficulties due to dry vagina	nil	11
Weight gain	125	109
Weight loss	6	111
Premenstrual depression	nil	70
Premenstrual tension	1	nil
Menstrual irritability	2	nil
Head-ache	12	20
Hypertension	2	2
Diabetes	nil	nil
Jaundice	1	1
Menorrhagia	98	71
Oligomenorrhoea	71	254

TABLE VIII
Analysis of Drop Out

Cause of Drop Out	Lyndiol	Ovulen
Lack of confidence	nil	2
Excess bleeding	nil	nil
Oligomenorrhoea	nil	5
Erosion of cervix	20	10
Fatigue, exhaustion and mental depression	nil	12
Coitus difficulty due to dry vagina	nil	11
Weight loss	nil	17
Premenstrual depression	nil	60
Increased libido	nil	25
Irregular use	nil	nil
Pregnancy	nil	nil
Tubectomy	1	nil
Wanted to be pregnant	2	1
Hypertension	2	2
Jaundice	1	1
Total	26	146

traceptives than that of the progestogenic effects. In a comparative study of new low dosage pills for oral contraception in Indian women, Shah (1971) pointed out that the dose of progestogen used was already too large for the small statured, low average weight of Indian women as compared to side effects such as hirsutism, acne and scanty menstruation, etc., which are more commonly associated with either progestogenic preparations or with highly potent progestogens. Because of this intolerance to progestogens it is likely that the Indian women are going to need more oestrogenic pills than their European counterparts.

During follow-up upto June, 1974 we found that 26 cases of Lyndiol and 146 cases of Ovulen dropped out. Analysis of drop out is shown in Table VIII.

Discussion and Conclusions

Unlike the European women, the Indian women show much greater tolerance of oestrogenic effects of oral con-

It seems, therefore, logical that the various ethnic groups will react differently to oral contraceptions. The Western woman is in general far more aggressive and androgenic in her outlook and personality than her Indian colleagues (Mears, 1967). Similarly, she tolerates oestrogen poorly, whereas the Indian women who are small statured and of low

average weight are equally intolerant of progestogens (Greenblatt, 1967, WHO, 1966).

The association between the use of hormonal contraceptions, particularly predominantly oestrogenic type and thromboembolic phenomenon is well established in the Western countries. According to Wassey & Coll (1969) the risk of thromboembolism is 6-7 times higher in Britain in women taking oral contraceptions. In the U.S.A. Sartwell *et al* (1969) concluded that the risk of hospital admission for thromboembolism was 4-4 times as great for users of oral contraceptions as for non-users.

This thromboembolic complication in Indian women is almost unknown even when they use predominantly oestrogenic pill.

Comparative work is urgently needed in this area to find out the acceptability of different preparations available in India so that even the minor discomforts could be reduced to more acceptable level.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Prof. B. K. Chakraborty, Principal-Superintendent,

Medical college & Hospitals, Calcutta for allowing to go through the hospital records and for constructive suggestions. They are also thankful to Prof. M. Konar, Head of the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Medical College for her ungrudging help in preparing this Paper.

References

1. Bhattacharyya, P., Sarkar, U., Pal, R., Sarkar, M., Das, A. and Banerjee, S.: Proceedings of International Conference on Family Planning organised by I.M.A., New Delhi, 1972, page F-1.
2. Greenblatt, R. B.: *Med. Sci.* 18: 37, 1967.
3. Mears, E.: *Hand Book on Oral Contraception* J & A Churchill Ltd., London W. 1, 1967.
4. Pincus, C., Bock, J. and Garcia, C. R.: *Ana. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 71: 877, 1958.
5. Sartwell, P. E., Masi, A. T. and Arthes, F. C.: *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 90: 365, 1968.
6. Shah, P. N.: *Ind. J. Med. Sci.* 25: 168, 1971.
7. Trenhasff, D.: *Aerthtl. Proxis.* 23: 3351, 1971.
8. Wessey, M. P. and Coll, R.: *Brit. Med. J.* 2: 051, 1969.
9. W.H.O. Report Oral Contraceptives—World wide Review by Sodusk, J. F., Menon, M. K. K., *J. Amer. Med. Assoc.* 196: NU, 4, April 25, 1966.